Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,802	LEDDY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RAYMOND ALEJANDRO	1727	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *RAYMOND ALEJANDRO*. (3)_____.

(2) *J. Steven Rutt*. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *02 November 2011*.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☒103 ☒Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: *all pending claims*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *all cited references*.

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

it was proposed to amend independent claim 1 to further include structural and material limitations regarding the polymer electrolyte membrane and its specific thickness as to more clearly define applicant's invention over the prior art. In particular, the limitations of dependent claims 39 and 51 have been added to independent claim 1 to further describe applicant's self-hydrating membrane electrode assembly. Cancellation of certain claims and other miscellaneous issues were also discussed. Applicant agreed.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Raymond Alejandro/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1727	